

British Journal of General Practice

bringing research to clinical practice

EDITORIAL

Best practice for HRT: unpicking the evidence

Louise R Newson

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp16X687097 Published 12 September 2016

Article	Info	□ PDF	eLetters
---------	------	-------	----------

Menopause is a normal life event for women and so it is not an illness or a medical condition. As our life expectancy has increased hugely this now means that on average, women spend nearly one-third of their lives being postmenopausal. This has resulted in more women being adversely affected by symptoms of their menopause often to the detriment of their families, work, and life in general. Many women suffer in silence and do not realise how effective hormone replacement therapy (HRT) can be at dramatically improving both their symptoms and also their quality of life.

Around 80% of women going through their menopause experience symptoms but only a small proportion of menopausal women take HRT; in some parts of the UK only around 10% of menopausal women take HRT.

Symptoms of the menopause last far longer than most women anticipate, the average length of time is 4 years and many women still have symptoms after 10 years.

NICE GUIDELINES

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines on menopause were published in November 2015 and should be encouraging for clinicians.² These are the first clinical guidelines on menopause and provide clear recommendations on the diagnosis and treatment of women with menopausal symptoms.

The negative publicity which followed the flawed Women's Health Initiative (WHI) study over a decade ago led to many women and also numerous doctors being concerned and anxious about the potential risks of HRT. This has resulted in many women needlessly suffering from menopause symptoms and also increasing their risk of osteoporosis and cardiovascular disease by not taking HRT.

The WHI study was undertaken to look at the risks and benefits of older women taking HRT. The women in this study were, on average, 63 years old, so their results cannot be used to determine the risks with HRT for women who are <60 years. They were using a type of HRT that we do not prescribe now, many of the women in this study were overweight or obese (so had other risk factors for cardiovascular disease and cancer), and there was a 75% drop out rate in this study. However, the media hype around this study over a decade ago failed to inform women of this.

Interestingly, two of the authors of the WHI study have recently published an apology for this misinterpretation of the study in the *New England Journal of Medicine*.³ They have admitted that this misunderstanding has been the cause for many women not taking HRT and thereby not benefiting from it.

BENEFITS OF HRT

Numerous studies have been published since the WHI study which have confirmed many benefits of taking HRT. Symptoms of the menopause such as hot flushes, mood swings, night sweats, and reduced libido all improve.

Age and years since menopause are now known to be important variables affecting the benefit–risk profile of HRT.⁴ It is also associated with less progression of subclinical atherosclerosis compared to placebo.⁵

Even sub-analysis of the WHI study has shown that there is no increased risk of cardiovascular disease in women starting HRT within 10 years of the menopause.

There is a substantial increase in quality-adjusted life-years, even over a 30-year period, in women who initiate HRT in close proximity to menopause, which supports HRT as a highly cost-effective strategy for improving quality-adjusted life. Indeed, there are very few potential therapies with such consistent data for reducing coronary heart disease and overall mortality as postmenopausal HRT.

It is not just the timing of HRT that is important. The type of HRT also affects a woman's risks and benefits. Some observational studies have shown that HRT containing micronised progesterone or dydrogesterone may be associated with a lower risk of breast cancer, cardiovascular disease, and thromboembolic events compared with androgenic progestogens. Women who have had a hysterectomy and only require oestrogen have a lower risk of breast cancer compared to women taking combined HRT.

In addition, the mode of delivery of oestrogen is also important because, in contrast with oral oestrogen, low-dose transdermal oestrogen appears to be linked to a lower risk of cholecystitis, stroke, and deep venous thromboembolism. This is because the factors of coagulation are not activated and neither is the renin angiotensin aldosterone cascade.

HRT benefits and risks vary by dosage, regimen, and timing of initiation. It is therefore very important to be

mindful that broad sweeping conclusions concerning HRT are not possible. It is essential that healthcare professionals, women, and also the media realise that the different HRT products available do not have a single class effect.

NICE, very sensibly, states that we should have an individualised approach at all stages of diagnosis, investigation, and management of the menopause. This is clearly reiterated in the recently published International Menopause Society Recommendations on women's midlife health and menopause hormone therapy. Ideally women should receive appropriate information, which will enable them to make informed choices regarding treatment they receive.

Clearly HRT is only one part of the management of perimenopausal and menopausal women. Lifestyle recommendations regarding diet, exercise, smoking cessation, and safe levels of alcohol consumption should be encouraged.

OTHER SYMPTOMS OF THE MENOPAUSE

Symptoms of depression and low mood can be very common and often respond well to HRT. There is no clear evidence that antidepressants improve the low mood in menopausal women.

A large proportion of women present during or after their menopause with symptoms of urogenital atrophy; the commonest symptoms are those of recurrent urinary tract infections with negative midstream specimens of urine. These symptoms are often very effectively managed by topical vaginal oestrogen. Vaginal oestrogen should be offered to these women (including those on systemic HRT) and then continued for as long as needed to relieve symptoms. This means that vaginal oestrogen can safely be given to women on their repeat prescription, which is still not happening routinely in many practices. The only real contraindication to these preparations is active breast cancer. After all, a year's supply of topical oestrogen is equivalent to having one tablet of HRT.

When women are asked about reasons not to take HRT, the most common answer is their concern about the increased risk of breast cancer.

A recent observational study has shown that there is an increased risk of breast cancer (or preinvasive ductal carcinoma in situ) for women using combined HRT after >5 years of treatment and also that this risk increases with prolonged treatment. ¹⁰ As shown with other studies, there was no increased risk of breast cancer seen for users of oestrogen-only therapy.

However, this study has not differentiated between the various combined HRT products nor between different progestogens used. This is a shame as there is considerable evidence to suggest that certain synthetic progestogens, such as medroxyprogesterone acetate, may increase breast cancer risk when used in combined HRT when compared to using micronised progesterone.

A very important learning point is that taking HRT does not affect the risk of dying from breast cancer. Women need to be made aware that this increased risk is less than being overweight or having a glass or two of wine each night. Telling them this often really helps to put it into perspective.

PREMATURE OVARIAN INSUFFICIENCY

Finally, the NICE guidance is really clear regarding the need for women who have premature ovarian insufficiency to receive HRT at least until the average age of the menopause. Any risks of HRT are not applicable to these young women: risks are only relevant to those women >51 years of age.

Without receiving oestrogen this not only means their lives have often been miserable but these women have a far greater risk of osteoporosis, cardiovascular disease, depression, and cognitive problems.¹¹ We all should have a lower threshold for asking women when their last period was and then acting appropriately.

SUMMARY

These NICE guidelines should enable clinicians to feel more confident about offering HRT to eligible women. They should also be able to help women to make a more informed choice regarding their treatment, which can now be based on a more balanced review of the evidence. HRT is generally a safe, effective treatment of the menopause and as such should be considered for many more women than it has been in the recent past.

Notes

Provenance

Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Competing interests

Louise R Newson runs a weekly private menopause clinic at Spire Parkway Hospital in Solihull. She has received money from Meda to develop non-promotional patient information leaflets on the menopause for distribution to GPs across the country for their patients. She has received money from Mylan to attend the 15th World Congress on Menopause in Prague in September.

© British Journal of General Practice 2016

REFERENCES

1. ← Cumming GP, Currie H, Morris E, et al. (2015) The need to do better — are we still letting our patients down and at what cost? *Post Reprod Health* **21**(2):56–62. **Abstract/FREE Full Text Google Scholar**

- 2. ✓ National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2015) *Menopause: diagnosis and management NICE guidelines [NG23]*, https://www.nice.org.uk/Guidance/NG23 (accessed 26 Aug 2016).
- 3. ✓ Manson JE, Kaunitz A (2016) Menopause management: getting clinical care back on track. *N Engl J Med* **374**:803–806. Google Scholar
- 4. ← Boardman HM, Hartley L, Eisinga A, et al. (2015) Hormone therapy for preventing cardiovascular disease in post-menopausal women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 3:CD002229. Medline Google Scholar
- 5. ← Hodis HN, Mack WJ, Henderson VW, et al. (2016) Vascular effects of early versus late postmenopausal treatment with estradiol. *N Engl J Med* **374**(13):1221–1231. CrossRef Medline Google Scholar
- 6. ← Hodis HN, Collins P, Mack WJ, Schierbeck LL (2012) The timing hypothesis for coronary heart disease prevention with hormone therapy: past, present and future in perspective. *Climacteric* **15**(3):217–228. **CrossRef Medline**Web of Science Google Scholar
- 7. ← Panay N (2014) Body identical hormone replacement. *Post Reprod Health* **20**(2):69–72. Abstract/FREE Full Text Google Scholar
- 8. ← Simon JA (2012) What's new in hormone replacement therapy: focus on transdermal estradiol and micronized progesterone. *Climacteric* **15**(Suppl 1):3–10. **Medline Google Scholar**
- 9. ← Baber RJ, Panay N, Fenton A, The IMS Writing Group (2016) IMS Recommendations on women's midlife health and menopause hormone therapy. *Climacteric* **19**(2):109–150. **CrossRef Medline Google Scholar**
- 10. ← Jones ME, Schoemaker MJ, Wright L, et al. (2016) Menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer: what is the true size of the increased risk? *Br J Cancer* **115**:607–615, doi:10.1038/bjc.2016.231. **CrossRef Google Scholar**
- 11. ← Maclaran K, Panay N (2015) Current concepts in premature ovarian insufficiency. *Womens Health (Lond)* 11(2):169–182. Google Scholar

View Abstract

We recommend

Hormone replacement therapy after the menopause – where are we now?

Janice Rymer, Br J Gen Pract, 2005

Menopausal symptoms in breast cancer survivors: management update

Leila Cusack et al., Br J Gen Pract, 2013

Contraception meets HRT: seeking optimal management of the perimenopause

Jenny Brotherston, Br J Gen Pract, 2015

Exercise participation, body mass index, and health-related quality of life in women of menopausal age

Amanda Daley, Br J Gen Pract, 2007

An absence of evidence linking perceived memory problems to the menopause

Nicola Ford, Br J Gen Pract, 2004

What's the Latest on Hormone Replacement for Preventi Jenny A. Van Amburgh, PharmD, CDE, Medscape, 2014	
Treating Menopause: Are We Going Loco? Charles P. Vega, MD, Medscape, 2013	
New WHI Data on Breast Cancer Outcomes and Postme Janet Kim, MPH, et al., Medscape, 2010	enopausal Combined Hormone Therapy 🗹
Exploring Alternative Care for Menopausal Symptoms Sarah Zarbock, Medscape, 2005	
HRT Clot Risk Lower for Vaginal Creams and Skin Patch By Lisa Rapaport, Medscape, 2016	ies 🗹
	Powered by Trend MD
∧ Ba	ack to top
«Previous Article	Next Article»
EmailPrint✓ AlertsCitation Tools	Save to my folders Request Permissions Respond to this article
G+1 0 Reddit CiteULike StumbleUpon	Twitter Mendeley
Jump To Top Article NICE GUIDELINES BENEFITS OF HRT OTHER SYMPTOMS OF THE MENOPAUSE PREMATURE OVARIAN INSUFFICIENCY SUMMARY Notes REFERENCES	

Info

PDF eLetters
Alert me when this article is cited
Alert me if a correction is posted
eLetters
Most Cited
Most Read
Cruse Bereavement Care
Closing the gap between physical and mental health training
General practice forward view: A new charter for general practice?
Confronting the bashing: Fundamental questions remain
Reply to DUTY Team's response to Dr Coulthard's letter
Featured Articles
dentifying barriers to mental health help-seeking among young adults in the UK: a cross-sectional survey

Barriers to managing child and adolescent mental health problems: a systematic review of primary care practitioners' perceptions

Clinical skills training in UK GP training schemes

Cognitive behaviour therapy for long-term frequent attenders in primary care: a feasibility case series and treatment development study

Influences on students' career decisions concerning general practice: a focus group study



The Calais 'jungle'

Behaviour change techniques in home-based cardiac rehabilitation: a systematic review

General practice workload

Show more Editorial



Related Articles



Cited By...



Essential Knowledge

UPDATES & CHALLENGES

Update your knowledge on the

Classification, assessment, prevalence, effect and treatment of personality disorder

with Essential Knowledge Update 16



Tweets liked by @BJGPjournal RCGP @rcgp Paper also being presented on 'Ending up a GP'. Also read @Maureenrcgp & @WesselyS latest in @BJGPjournal bjgp.org/content/66/651... #RCGPAC Sam @SAScallan Interested in GP workload issues? Read our @BJGPjournal debate paper ow.ly/Gwjf304UAdK @jlyonmaris Faraz Mughal @farazhmughal @Maureenrcgp highlights the 'forces' who denigrate general practice. Recent @BJGPjournal editorial #RCGPAC Tim Pickles @PicklesTim @cardiffuni announcement of our @rcqp award for #DUTY @BJGPjournal paper cardiff.ac.uk/news/view/4798... bjgp.org/content/65/633... #primarycare Study wins RCGP's Research Paper of the Year Cardiff researchers part of award-winning team for major study Embed View on Twitter

NAVIGATE

Home

Current issue

All issues

Authors & reviewers

RCGP

BJGP for RCGP members

RCGP eLearning

InnovAiT Journal

RCGP Bookshop

Jobs and careers

RCGP e-Portfolio

MY ACCOUNT

RCGP members' login Subscriber login Activate subscription

NEWS & UPDATES

About BJGP

E-alert sign up

RSS feeds

Facebook

Twitter

AUTHORS & REVIEWERS

Submit an article

Writing for BJGP: research

Writing for BJGP: other sections

BJGP editorial process & policies

BJGP ethical guidelines

Peer review for BJGP

CUSTOMER SERVICES

Advertising

Contact subscription agent

Copyright

Librarian information

CONTRIBUTE

BJGP Blog

eLetters

Feedback

CONTACT US

BJGP Journal Office

RCGP

30 Euston Square

London NW1 2FB

Tel: +44(0) 20 3188 7400 Email: <u>journal@rcgp.org.uk</u>

For an alternate route to British Journal of General Practice use this URL: http://intl.bjgp.org [More Information]

British Journal of General Practice is an editorially-independent publication of the Royal College of General Practitioners

© 2016 British Journal of General Practice

Print ISSN: 0960-1643

Online ISSN: 1478-5242